arXiv:1701.09106v1 [math-ph] 31 Jan 2017

Long term dynamics for the restricted N-body problem with mean motion resonances and crossing singularities

Stefano Marò[1] and Giovanni F. Gronchi[1]

[1]Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa

Abstract

We consider the long term dynamics of the restricted N body problem, modeling the dynamics of an asteroid moving in the gravitational field of the Solar system. In particular we deal with the case of a mean motion resonance with a planet. The asteroid evolution is computed by averaging over the fast angles using standard techniques of perturbation theory. We focus on the critical case where the trajectory of the asteroid crosses the one of a planet during the evolution. This produces a singularity in the averaged equations of motion. We prove that the averaged vector field can be extended to two Lipschitz continuous vector fields in a neighborhood of crossing configurations and we define generalized solutions, going beyond this singularity. Moreover, we prove that the orbit distance between the asteroid and a planet is differentiable also in case of crossings. This work extends the results in [7] to the resonant case.

1 Introduction

The role of the minimal distance between the trajectories of an asteroid and a planet is crucial in the study of possible Earth impactors. Actually, a small value of this quantity, that we denote by d_{min}, is a necessary condition for an impact. We study the evolution of d_{min} in the framework of the restricted N body problem, composed by an asteroid moving in the gravitational field generated by the Sun and some planets. We adopt the Hamiltonian point of view.

It is well known since Poincaré that this problem is not integrable. In particular, the orbits of near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) have short Lyapunov times, beyond which the orbit computed by numerical techniques and the true orbit are completely uncorrelated [11]. Hence, one can consider a normal form of the Hamiltonian, where the short periodic oscillations are filtered out. More precisely, we would like to eliminate the dependence on the mean anomalies of the asteroid and the planets from the Hamiltonian. Outside mean motion resonances this procedures corresponds to averaging the Hamiltonian equations over the fast angles (cfr. the averaging principle [1]). In case of resonances, we must take into account also the resonant combinations of the angles.

With this procedure, the singularities correspond to the intersections between the trajectories of the asteroid and the planets, namely, a singularity occurs whenever $d_{min} = 0$. Since the orbit of a NEA is likely to cross the one of the Earth, we cannot avoid facing these singularities.

The problem of averaging over crossing orbits has been studied in [5] in the case where the planets have circular and coplanar orbits. In [4] the results were extended to the case of non-zero eccentricities and inclinations. In these works, the distance is computed through an Taylor expansion at the mutual nodes. These results were improved in [7] where the main singular term is expanded at the minimum distance points (see Section 3). Moreover, it is proved that the averaged vector field admits two different Lipschitz-continuous extensions in a neighborhood of almost every crossing configuration. This allows us to define a generalized solution that is continuous but not differentiable. Note that the evolution of d_{min} along these generalized solutions is not differentiable in a neighborhood of a singularity. Anyway, one can suitably choose the sign of d_{min} and obtain a map $\tilde{d}_{min}$ that is differentiable in a neighborhood of almost all crossing configurations (see [6]).

The basic model considered in these works comes from the averaging principle. Therefore, it is supposed that the dynamics is not affected by mean motion resonances. Anyway, the population of resonant NEAs is not negligible. Following the classification in [8], we can consider at least two classes of orbital behavior for Earth crossing resonant NEAs. The *Toro* class corresponds to asteroids in mean motion resonance with the Earth, the *Alinda* class with Jupiter.

For the resonant case, the averaging process suffers the presence of small divisors. Hence, the dependence on the fast angles cannot be completely eliminated, and the terms corresponding to the resonant combination of the anomalies still appear in the resonant normal form $\mathcal{H}'$ (see (21)). We observe that the averaged Hamiltonian $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ considered in [7] also appears in the expression of $\mathcal{H}'$. A new term, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{res}$, is also singular at orbit crossings and needs to be studied.

Another difference with the non-resonant case is that the semimajor axis is not constant, and the number of state variables to consider in the equations is 6. In particular, we cannot study the singularities just applying the same techniques.

We will prove that, despite these differences, we can obtain analogous results as in [7]. The averaged resonant vector field admits two different Lipschitz-continuous extensions in a neighborhood of a singularity and we can define a generalized solution (continuous but not differentiable) going beyond the singularity. The evolution of the map $\tilde{d}_{min}$ along the generalized solutions is differentiable in a neighborhood of the singularity. The extraction of the singularity is performed in two different ways. If the asteroid is in mean motion resonance with Jupiter (*Alinda* class) we proceed as in [7]; for the *Toro* class, we need to perform a deeper analysis.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we use standard perturbation theory to derive the averaged equation of motion for a given mean motion resonance. The main result is stated and proved in Section 3. In Section 4 we define the generalized solution and prove the regularity of the evolution of the orbit distance.

Acknowledgments. The work has been supported by the Marie Curie Initial Training

Network Stardust, FP7-PEOPLE-2012-ITN, Grant Agreement 317185.

2 The resonant averaged equations of motion

We consider the equations of the restricted $N+1$ body problem in heliocentric coordinates

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{r}} = -\mathtt{k}^2 \frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{|\boldsymbol{r}|^3} + \mathtt{k}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r}_j - \boldsymbol{r}}{|\boldsymbol{r}_j - \boldsymbol{r}|^3} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r}_j}{|\boldsymbol{r}_j|^3} \right), \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{r}$ describes the motion of a massless asteroid under the gravitational attraction of N planets. The motions of the planets $\boldsymbol{r}_j = \boldsymbol{r}_j(t)$ are known functions of the time t. Moreover, $\mathtt{k} = \sqrt{\mathcal{G} m_0}$ is Gauss's constant, $\mu_j = m_j/m_0$ with m_0 the mass of the Sun and m_j the masses of the planets. Equations (1) can be written in Hamiltonian form as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = \nabla \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}, t), \qquad \dot{\boldsymbol{r}} = \boldsymbol{p} \tag{2}$$

with Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{r}, t) = \frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}{2} - \frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{|\boldsymbol{r}|} - \mathtt{k}^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \left(\frac{1}{d_j(\boldsymbol{r}, t)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j(t)}{|\boldsymbol{r}_j(t)|^3} \right). \tag{3}$$

In (3) $d_j = |\boldsymbol{r}_j - \boldsymbol{r}|$ stands for the distance between the asteroid and planet j. We use Delaunay's elements (L, G, Z, l, g, z) defined by

$$L = \mathtt{k}\sqrt{a}, \qquad \ell = \mathtt{n}(t - t_0), \tag{4}$$

$$G = \mathtt{k}\sqrt{a(1-e^2)}, \qquad g = \omega, \tag{5}$$

$$Z = \mathtt{k}\sqrt{a(1-e^2)}\cos I, \qquad z = \Omega, \tag{6}$$

where $a, e, I, \Omega, \omega, t_0$ represent semimajor axis, eccentricity, inclination, longitude of the ascending node, argument of perihelion, and epoch of passage at perihelion. For the definition of ℓ we used the mean motion

$$\mathtt{n} = \frac{\mathtt{k}^4}{L^3}.$$

In these coordinates, the Hamiltonian (3) can be written as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{H}_1, \tag{7}$$

with $\epsilon = \mu_5$,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^4}{2L^2}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)} = -\mathtt{k}^2 \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_5} \left(\frac{1}{d_j} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j}{|\boldsymbol{r}_j|^3} \right) \tag{9}$$

and $\boldsymbol{r}_j = \boldsymbol{r}_j(t)$. Note that in (9)

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(L, G, Z, \ell, g, z, t).$$

To get rid of the dependence on time in $\mathcal{H}_1$ we overextend the phase space. We assume that the planets move on quasi-periodic orbits with three independent frequencies $\mathtt{n}_j, \mathtt{g}_j, \mathtt{s}_j$.
This is the case considered by Laplace (see for example [9]), where the mean semi-major axis a_j is constant and the mean value of the mean anomaly ℓ_j grows linearly with time, i.e. up to a phase, $\ell_j = \mathtt{n}_j t$. Here $\mathtt{n}_j$ is the mean value of the mean motion of planet j. Moreover, every planet is characterized by two more frequencies $\mathtt{g}_j, \mathtt{s}_j$, describing the slow motions of the other mean orbital elements. We introduce the angles

$$\ell_j = \mathtt{n}_j t + \ell_j(0), \quad g_j = \mathtt{g}_j t + g_j(0), \quad z_j = \mathtt{s}_j t + z_j(0)$$

and their conjugate variables L_j, G_j, Z_j.

Note that these variables do not correspond to the Delaunay's elements of planet j, since they are functions of the orbital elements of the asteroid and planet j. We use the following notation:

$$\boldsymbol{\ell} = (\ell, \ell_1 \ldots, \ell_N), \quad \boldsymbol{g} = (g, g_1 \ldots, g_N), \quad \boldsymbol{z} = (z, z_1 \ldots, z_N), \tag{10}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\ell}_j = (\ell, \ell_j), \qquad \boldsymbol{g}_j = (g, g_j), \qquad \boldsymbol{z}_j = (z, z_j) \tag{11}$$

and analogously we define $\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{L}_j, \boldsymbol{G}_j, \boldsymbol{Z}_j$.

In this way we get rid of the dependence on time and the dynamics in this overextended phase space is determined by the Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^4}{2L^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathtt{n}_j L_j + \mathtt{g}_j G_j + \mathtt{s}_j Z_j) + \epsilon \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1(L, G, Z, \boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{z}) \tag{12}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1{}^{(j)}, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_1^{(j)} = -\mathtt{k}^2 \frac{\mu_j}{\mu_5} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{d}_j} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j}{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j|^3} \right)$$

with

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j = \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j(\ell_j, g_j, z_j), \qquad \tilde{d}_j = |\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j - \boldsymbol{r}|.$$

Here we are assuming that $\boldsymbol{r}_j$ evolves according to Laplace's solution for the planetary motions, and we write it as a function of its frequencies, denoted by $\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_j$. Hereafter we shall omit the symbol 'tilde', to simplify the notation.
The frequencies $\mathtt{g}_j$ and $\mathtt{s}_j$ are of order ϵ [9]. In order to study the secular dynamics, we would like to eliminate all the frequencies corresponding to the fast angles $\boldsymbol{\ell}$.
In case of a mean motion resonance with a planet this is not possible.

In the following we shall assume that there is only a mean motion resonance with Jupiter, that is given by

$$h^* \mathtt{n} + h_5^* \mathtt{n}_5 = 0 \quad \text{for some } (h^*, h_5^*) \in \mathbb{Z}^2. \tag{13}$$

We denote by

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\boldsymbol{\ell}, \boldsymbol{g}, \boldsymbol{z}), \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi}_j = (\boldsymbol{\ell}_j, \boldsymbol{g}_j, \boldsymbol{z}_j)$$

the vector of the angles and by

$$\mathbf{I} = (\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{Z}), \quad \mathbf{I}_j = (\boldsymbol{L}_j, \boldsymbol{G}_j, \boldsymbol{Z}_j)$$

the corresponding vector of the actions.
We use the Lie method to search for a suitable canonical transformation close to the identity, that is we search for a function $\chi = \chi(\mathbf{I}', \boldsymbol{\varphi}')$ such that

$$\Phi^{\epsilon}_{\chi}(\mathbf{I}', \boldsymbol{\varphi}') = (\mathbf{I}, \boldsymbol{\varphi}), \tag{14}$$

where Φ^t_χ is the Hamiltonian flow associated to χ.
The function χ is selected so that the transformed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} \circ \Phi^{\epsilon}_{\chi}$ depends, at least at first order, on as less fast angular variables as possible.
We have

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} \circ \Phi^{\epsilon}_{\chi} = \mathcal{H} + \epsilon\{\mathcal{H}, \chi\} + O(\epsilon^2) = \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon(\mathcal{H}_1 + \{\mathcal{H}_0, \chi\}) + O(\epsilon^2). \tag{15}$$

In the resonant case we search for a solution χ of the equation

$$\mathcal{H}_1 + \{\mathcal{H}_0, \chi\} = f \tag{16}$$

for some function $f = f(\mathbf{I}', h^*\ell' + h^*_5\ell'_5, \boldsymbol{g}', \boldsymbol{z}')$. To solve (16) we develop

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)},$$

in Fourier's series of the fast angles:

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{(j)} = \sum_{(h,h_5)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} e^{i(h\ell + h_5\ell_j)}. \tag{17}$$

Here

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)}(L, G, Z, \boldsymbol{g}_j, \boldsymbol{z}_j) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathcal{H}_1^j e^{-i(h\ell + h_5\ell_j)} d\ell d\ell_j \tag{18}$$

are the Fourier coefficients. We observe that $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)}$ are defined also in case of orbit crossings, since the integral in (18) converges (see e.g. [7]).
Moreover, we can write χ as

$$\chi = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi^{(j)}, \qquad \chi^{(j)} = \chi^{(j)}(L', G', Z', \boldsymbol{\ell}'_j, \boldsymbol{g}'_j, \boldsymbol{z}'_j) \tag{19}$$

and search for the coefficients

$$\widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} = \widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)}(L', G', Z', \boldsymbol{g}'_j, \boldsymbol{z}'_j)$$

in the Fourier's series development

$$\chi^{(j)} = \sum_{(h,h_5)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} e^{i(h\ell' + h_5\ell'_j)}.$$

Inserting these Fourier developments into (16) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_1 + \{\mathcal{H}_0, \chi\} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Big(\mathcal{H}_1^{(j)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathbf{I}} \cdot \frac{\partial \chi^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}} \Big)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_1^{(j)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_0}{\partial \mathbf{I}} \cdot \frac{\partial \chi^{(j)}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \sum_{(h,h_5)\in\mathbb{Z}^2} \big[\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} - i(h\mathtt{n} + h_5 \mathtt{n}_j) \widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} \big] e^{i(h\ell' + h_5 \ell'_j)}.$$

This expression suggests the following choice for the function f in (16):

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_j$$

where $f_5 = f_5(\mathbf{I}'_5, h^*\ell' + h_5^*\ell'_5, \boldsymbol{g}'_5, \boldsymbol{z}'_5)$ and $f_j = f_j(\mathbf{I}'_j, \boldsymbol{g}'_j, \boldsymbol{z}'_j)$ for $j \neq 5$.

This can be accomplished by choosing

$$\widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} = \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)}}{i(h\mathtt{n} + h_5 \mathtt{n}_j)} \tag{20}$$

when the denominator does not vanish. This only occurs in the degenerate case $(h, h_5) = (0, 0)$ and in the resonant case $(h, h_5) = n(h^*, h_5^*)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^* = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. In all cases we assume that the corresponding Fourier coefficient is $\widehat{\chi}^{(j)}_{(h,h_5)} = 0$. With this choice we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_5 &= \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{(0,0)} + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^*} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{n(h^*,h_5^*)} e^{in(h^*\ell' + h_5^*\ell'_5)} \\ f_j &= \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(0,0)} \qquad \text{for } j \neq 5 \end{aligned}$$

The new Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon(\overline{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}_{res}) + O(\epsilon^2) \tag{21}$$

where, denoting by $\Re(z)$ the real part of $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(0,0)}, \qquad \mathcal{H}_{res} = 2\Re \left(\sum_{n>0} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{n(h^*,h_5^*)} e^{in(h^*\ell' + h_5^*\ell'_5)} \right)$$

because $\overline{\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{(h,h_5)}} = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{(-h,-h_5)}$.

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(j)}_{(0,0)} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathcal{H}_1^{(j)} d\ell d\ell_j = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2 \mu_j}{(2\pi)^2 \mu_5} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d_j} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j}{|\boldsymbol{r}_j|^3} \right) d\ell d\ell_j = \\ &= -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2 \mu_j}{(2\pi)^2 \mu_5} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \end{aligned}$$

being null the average of the indirect perturbation (see [3]). We observe that in the coefficient $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{(5)}_{n(h^*,h_5^*)}$ the term corresponding to the indirect perturbation does not vanish. We can write, dropping the apexes,

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \frac{C_j}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{res} = \frac{2C_5}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n>0} [I_5^{c,n} \cos n(h^*\ell + h_5^*\ell_5) + I_5^{s,n} \sin n(h^*\ell + h_5^*\ell_5)] \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_j &= -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2\mu_j}{\mu_5} = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2 m_j}{m_5}, \\ I_5^{c,n} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d_5} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_5}{|\boldsymbol{r}_5|^3} \right) \cos n(h^*\ell + h_5^*\ell_5) d\ell d\ell_5, \\ I_5^{s,n} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d_5} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}_5}{|\boldsymbol{r}_5|^3} \right) \sin n(h^*\ell + h_5^*\ell_5) d\ell d\ell_5. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the new Hamiltonian does not depend on ℓ_j for $j \neq 5$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}_0(L, L_5, G_1, \ldots, G_N, Z_1, \ldots, Z_N) = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^4}{2L^2} + \mathtt{n}_5 L_5 + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathtt{g}_j G_j + \mathtt{s}_j Z_j)$$

We now introduce the resonant angle σ through the unimodular canonical transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \sigma_5 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \ell \\ \ell_5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} S \\ S_5 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-T} \begin{pmatrix} L \\ L_5 \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} h^* & h_5^* \\ 0 & 1/h^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^{-T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/h^* & 0 \\ -h_5^* & h^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

In these new variables, neglecting the terms $O(\epsilon^2)$, the Hamiltonian transforms into

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon(\overline{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}_{res}) \tag{26}$$

where, dropping the apexes

$$\mathcal{H}_0(S, G_1, \ldots, G_N, Z_1, \ldots, Z_N) = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^4}{2(h^*S)^2} + \mathtt{n}_5(h_5^*S + S_5/h^*) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathtt{g}_j G_j + \mathtt{s}_j Z_j) \tag{27}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{res}(S, G, Z, \sigma, g, z, g_5, z_5) = \frac{2C_5}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n>0} (I_5^{c,n} \cos n\sigma + I_5^{s,n} \sin n\sigma) \tag{28}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}(S, G, Z, g, z, g_1, \ldots, g_N, z_1, \ldots, z_N) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{C_j}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{d_j(\ell, \ell_j)} d\ell d\ell_j. \tag{29}$$

Since the Hamiltonian does not depend on σ_5, the value of $S_5(t)$ will remain constant and we will treat it as a parameter. Calling $\mathcal{Y} = (S, G, Z, \sigma, g, z)$ we consider the equations for the motion of the asteroid given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbb{J}_3 \nabla_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{H}. \tag{30}$$

where $\mathbb{J}_3$ is the symplectic identity of order 6. In components we have

$$\dot{S} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \sigma} = -\epsilon \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial \sigma} \tag{31}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial S} = \frac{h^* \mathtt{k}^4}{(h^* S)^3} + \mathtt{n}_5 h_5^* + \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial S} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial S} \right) \tag{32}$$

$$\dot{G} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial g} = -\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial g} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial g} \right) \tag{33}$$

$$\dot{g} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial G} = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial G} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial G} \right) \tag{34}$$

$$\dot{Z} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial z} = -\epsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial z} \right) \tag{35}$$

$$\dot{z} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial Z} = \epsilon \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{res}}{\partial Z} + \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial Z} \right) \tag{36}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{res}$, $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ are functions of $(S, G, Z, \sigma, g, z, g_5, z_5)$ and $(S, G, Z, g, z, g_1, \ldots, g_N, z_1, \ldots, z_N)$ respectively. Note that

$$\epsilon C_j = -\mathtt{k}^2 \mu_j,$$

therefore, we get

$$\dot{S} = \frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} n \left(I_5^{s,n} \cos n\sigma - I_5^{c,n} \sin n\sigma \right) \tag{37}$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = \frac{h^* \mathtt{k}^4}{(h^* S)^3} + \mathtt{n}_5 h_5^* - \frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial S} \cos n\sigma + \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial S} \sin n\sigma \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial S} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \right\} \tag{38}$$

$$\dot{G} = \frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial g} \cos n\sigma + \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial g} \sin n\sigma \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \right\} \tag{39}$$

$$\dot{g} = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial G} \cos n\sigma + \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial G} \sin n\sigma \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial G} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \right\} \tag{40}$$

$$\dot{Z} = \frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial z} \cos n\sigma + \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial z} \sin n\sigma \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \right\} \tag{41}$$

$$\dot{z} = -\frac{\mathtt{k}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \left\{ 2\mu_5 \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial Z} \cos n\sigma + \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial Z} \sin n\sigma \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mu_j \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \frac{1}{d_j} d\ell d\ell_j \right\} \tag{42}$$

3 Extraction of the singularities

Let $E = (S, G, Z, g, z)$, $E' = (S', G', Z', g', z')$ be the set of elements describing the trajectory of the asteroid and the planet respectively. We also introduce the two-orbit configuration $\mathcal{E} = (E, E')$ and $V = (\ell, \ell')$. In our model, the evolution of (E', ℓ') is given, while the evolution of ℓ can be derived from the evolution of σ (see (24)). For every given $\mathcal{E}$, we denote by $V_h(\mathcal{E})$ a local minimum point of the function $V \mapsto d^2(\mathcal{E}, V)$ and by $d_h(\mathcal{E}) = d(\mathcal{E}, V_h)$ the corresponding distance. We will consider non degenerate configurations $\mathcal{E}$, i.e such that all the critical points of the map $V \mapsto d(\mathcal{E}, V)$ are non degenerate. In this way, we can always choose a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ in which the maps d_h do not have bifurcations. A crossing configuration will be indicated with $\mathcal{E}_c$ and $V_h(\mathcal{E}_c)$ will be the corresponding minimum point such that $d(\mathcal{E}_c, V_h(\mathcal{E}_c)) = 0$. Finally, we denote by $\tilde{d}_h$ the regularized distance map defined in [6], and given a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{E}_c$ we write $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^- \cup \Sigma \cup \mathcal{W}^+$, where

$$\Sigma = \mathcal{W} \cap \{\tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}) = 0\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^+ = \mathcal{W} \cap \{\tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}) > 0\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^- = \mathcal{W} \cap \{\tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}) < 0\}.$$

For each $\mathcal{E}$ in a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{E}_c$ we can consider the Taylor expansion of the function $d(\mathcal{E}, V)$ in a neighborhood of $V_h = V_h(\mathcal{E})$

$$d^2(\mathcal{E}, V) = d_h^2(\mathcal{E}) + (V - V_h) \cdot \mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h) + \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{E}, V)$$

and define the approximated distance

$$\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V) = \sqrt{d_h^2(\mathcal{E}) + (V - V_h) \cdot \mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h)} \tag{43}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_h$ is the symmetric matrix defined in [7]. We recall that $\mathcal{A}_h$ is positive definite except for tangent crossings.

Let us assume that a mean motion resonance with Jupiter occurs for some integers $(h^*, h_5^*) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, see (13). We also assume that no other mean motions resonances take place. Before starting the study of the singularities, let us note that not all the components of the vector field are singular at orbit crossing. Actually, the derivative $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \sigma}$ is regular since both $I_5^{c,n}$ and $I_5^{s,n}$ are finite. As far as the other components are concerned, we consider separately the case where the asteroid crosses the trajectory of Jupiter or the one of another planet. In the second case, the crossing singularity appears only in the average terms $\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}$, where y_i is one of the components of the vector E. In the first case, also the terms

$$\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial y_i}, \qquad \frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial y_i}$$

become singular. The singularity in the average terms can be handled in the same way as in [7, Theorem 4.2], getting an analogous result:

Theorem 1. *Assume that the mean motion resonance (13) with Jupiter holds and $\mathcal{E}_c$ corresponds to a crossing configuration with any planet. Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{E}_c$ such that*

(a) The maps

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i} = \frac{\mathtt{k}^2 \mu}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV$$

can be extended to two Lipschitz-continuous functions $\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{\pm}$ on $\mathcal{W}$.

(b) The following relation holds in $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{+} - \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{-} = \frac{\mu \mathtt{k}^2}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}} \right) \tilde{d} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}} \frac{\partial \tilde{d}}{\partial y_i} \right].$$

Corollary 1. *If $\mathcal{E}_c$ corresponds to a crossing configuration with a planet different from Jupiter, then the following relation holds in $\mathcal{W}$*

$$\mathrm{Diff}_h := \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{-} - \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{+} = \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{+} - \left(\frac{\partial \overline{\mathcal{H}}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{-} = \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{\mu \mathtt{k}^2}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}} \right) \tilde{d} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}} \frac{\partial \tilde{d}}{\partial y_i} \right]. \tag{45}$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{\pm}$ represents two Lipschitz extensions of the vector field generated by (26).

As far as the other singular terms are concerned we shall get the following theorem, in which we define $\mathsf{h} = (h^*, h_5^*)$:

Theorem 2. *Assume that the mean motion resonance (13) with Jupiter holds and $\mathcal{E}_c$ corresponds to a crossing configuration with Jupiter. Then, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{E}_c$ such that, for every $n > 0$,*

(a) Both maps

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial y_i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_5}{|\boldsymbol{r}_5|^3} \right) \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV,$$

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial y_i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} - \frac{\boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_5}{|\boldsymbol{r}_5|^3} \right) \sin(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV$$

can be extended to two Lipschitz continuous maps

$$\mathcal{E} \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{\pm}(\mathcal{E}), \qquad \mathcal{E} \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^{\pm}(\mathcal{E})$$

on $\mathcal{W}$.

(b) The following relations hold in $\mathcal{W}$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^+ - \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{c,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^- = 4\pi\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}}\right)\tilde{d}_h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}}\frac{\partial\tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i}\right]$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^+ - \left(\frac{\partial I_5^{s,n}}{\partial y_i}\right)^- = 4\pi\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}}\right)\tilde{d}_h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}}\frac{\partial\tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i}\right].$$

Corollary 2. *If $\mathcal{E}_c$ corresponds to a configuration with Jupiter, then the following relation holds in $\mathcal{W}$*

$$\mathrm{Diff}_h := \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^- - \left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^+ = \frac{2\mu_5\mathsf{k}^2}{\pi}\left[\sum_{n>0}\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot(V-V_h)) + \frac{1}{2}\right]\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}}\right)\tilde{d} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A})}}\frac{\partial\tilde{d}}{\partial y_i}\right] \quad (46)$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}}{\partial y_i}\right)^\pm$ represents two Lipschitz extensions of the vector field generated by (26).

3.1 Proof of Theorem 2-(a)

Since we suppose that Jupiter cannot collide with the Sun, $\mathbf{r}_5$ will never vanish so that we have to study the following derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)dV, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)dV$$

Let us concentrate on the first, being the second analogous.
We need to prove that, for n fixed, the function

$$F(\mathcal{E}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i\partial y_j}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}dV$$

is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{E}\in\mathcal{W}\setminus\Sigma$.
We only need to concentrate on a neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of a crossing configuration $\mathcal{E}_c$, so that we restrict the integral to the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{V\in\mathbb{T}^2 :\ (V-V_h)\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V-V_h)\le r^2\}.$$

Using the Taylor expansion

$$\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V) = \cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h) - n\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\mathsf{h}\cdot(V-V_h) + \mathcal{R}_2, \qquad \mathcal{R}_2 = O(|V-V_h|^2)$$

and the approximated distance δ_h defined in (43), we can write the function $F(\mathcal{E})$ as sum of four terms:

$$F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4, \quad (47)$$

where

$$F_1 = \cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}dV \tag{48}$$

$$F_2 = -n\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V-V_h)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\left(\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}-\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E})}\right)dV \tag{49}$$

$$F_3 = n\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V-V_h)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E})}dV \tag{50}$$

$$F_4 = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{R}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}dV. \tag{51}$$

We prove that each term is bounded by a constant independent on $\mathcal{E}$.
For this purpose we will refer to several estimates and results proved in [7]. For the reader's convenience we gather them in Appendix A. In the following we will denote by C_i, $i = 1,\dots,8$, some positive constants, not necessarily corresponding to the ones in Appendix A.

Boundedness of F_1. It comes trivially from estimate (iv).

Boundedness of F_4. Using the identity $d = \sqrt{d^2}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{d} = \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{d^5}\frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{d^3}\frac{\partial^2 d^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}.$$

Hence, from estimates (v),(ii),(vii) we have that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{d}\right| \le \left|\frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{d^5}C_1^2(d_h+|V-V_h|)^2\right| + \left|\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{d^3}\frac{\partial^2 d^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\right| \le \frac{C_2}{(d_h^2+|V-V_h|^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Since $|\mathcal{R}_2| \le C_3|V-V_h|^2$ we have

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{R}_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E},V)}dV\right| \le \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{C_4|V-V_h|^2}{(d_h^2+|V-V_h|^2)^{3/2}}dV \le C_5\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{dV}{d_h+|V-V_h|}$$

that is clearly uniformly bounded from estimate (vi).

Boundedness of F_2. We just need to prove that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\left(\frac{1}{d}-\frac{1}{\delta_h}\right)\right| \le \frac{C_6}{d_h^2+|V-V_h|^2} \tag{52}$$

so that

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V-V_h)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\left(\frac{1}{d}-\frac{1}{\delta_h}\right)dV\right| \le \int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{C_7|V-V_h|}{d_h^2+|V-V_h|^2} \le C_8\int_{\mathcal{D}}\frac{dV}{d_h+|V-V_h|}$$

and we can use estimate (vi).
Using that $d^2 = \delta_h^2 + \mathcal{R}_3$, from a straightforward computation we get

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{\delta_h}\right) = \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{1}{d^5}\frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_i} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^5}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\right)\frac{\partial \delta^2}{\partial y_j} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{d^3} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^3}\right)\frac{\partial^2 \delta^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \tag{53}$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{d^5}\frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_3}{\partial y_j} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{d^3}\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{R}_3}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \tag{54}$$

We prove that every of the four terms in the sum satisfies an estimate like (52). The estimate for the second term comes from estimates (vii),(viii). For the third term we use estimates (v),(ix) and for the last we use estimate (x). As far as the first term is concerned, we split it as

$$\left(\frac{1}{d^5}\frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_i} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^5}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\right)\frac{\partial \delta^2}{\partial y_j} = \left(\frac{1}{d^5} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^5}\right)\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j} + \frac{1}{d^5}\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_3}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j}$$

and use

$$\left|\frac{1}{d^5} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^5}\right| \leq \left|\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{\delta_h}\right|\left|\frac{1}{d^4} + \frac{1}{d^3\delta_h} + \frac{1}{d^2\delta_h^2} + \frac{1}{d\delta_h^3} + \frac{1}{\delta_h^4}\right|.$$

We can conclude using estimates (ii),(xi),(ix).

Boundedness of F_3. We denote by $f_k(\theta), g_k(\theta)$ homogeneous trigonometric polynomials of degree k. We start writing

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V - V_h)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}\frac{1}{\delta_h} = \frac{3}{4}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V - V_h)\frac{1}{\delta_h^5}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j}dV - \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h}\cdot(V - V_h)\frac{1}{\delta_h^3}\frac{\partial^2 \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}dV. \tag{55}$$

and study the two terms separately. Since

$$\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j} = \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_j} - 2\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j}\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h) + (V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_j}(V - V_h)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j} =& \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_j} - 2\left(\frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_i}\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_j}\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i}\right)\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h) \\
&+ \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_i}(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_j}(V - V_h) + \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_j}(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i}(V - V_h) \\
&+ 4\left[\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i}\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h)\right]\left[\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j}\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h)\right] \\
&- 2\left[\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i}\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h)\right]\left[(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_j}(V - V_h)\right] \\
&- 2\left[\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j}\cdot\mathcal{A}_h(V - V_h)\right]\left[(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i}(V - V_h)\right] \\
&+ \left[(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i}(V - V_h)\right]\left[(V - V_h)\cdot\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_j}(V - V_h)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

To compute

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \frac{1}{\delta_h^5} \frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j} dV$$

we use the variable $\xi = \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2}(V - V_h)$ and then polar coordinates $\xi = \rho(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$. We realize that all the terms with degree k in $(V - V_h)$ produce a factor of the form

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (f_k(\theta), g_k(\theta)) d\theta$$

that vanishes for k odd. Hence, we just need to deal with the terms of even degree. We have that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \frac{1}{\delta_h^5} \left(\frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial d_h^2}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \right) \cdot \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) dV = \tag{56}$$

$$2 d_h \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \right) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \frac{1}{\delta_h^5} dV = \tag{57}$$

$$2 \frac{d_h}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \right) \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} (f_2(\theta), g_2(\theta)) d\theta \int_0^r \frac{\rho^3}{(d_h^2 + \rho^2)^{5/2}} d\rho \leq \tag{58}$$

$$2 \frac{d_h}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \right) \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} (f_2(\theta), g_2(\theta)) d\theta \frac{2}{3 d_h} \tag{59}$$

that is bounded since d_h cancels out and all the other terms are bounded. To conclude the estimate for the first integral in (55) we note that, analogously,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\delta_h^5} \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \left[\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} \cdot \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) \right] \left[(V - V_h) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i} (V - V_h) \right] dV = \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}} \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2} \cdot \int_0^{2\pi} (f_4(\theta), g_4(\theta)) d\theta \int_0^r \frac{\rho^5}{(d_h^2 + \rho^2)^{5/2}} d\rho \tag{61}$$

that this is bounded as well as the other term with the same degree.
To estimate the second integral in (55) we proceed in the same way, using

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = \frac{\partial^2 d_h^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 V_h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \cdot \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) - 2 \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_j} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i} (V - V_h) \tag{62}$$

$$- 2 \frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_j} (V - V_h) + \left[(V - V_h) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{A}_h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} (V - V_h) \right] \tag{63}$$

More precisely, To compute

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \frac{1}{\delta_h^3} \frac{\partial^2 \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} dV$$

we use the variable $\xi = \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2}(V - V_h)$ and then polar coordinates $\xi = \rho(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$ and realize that all the terms with odd degree in $(V - V_h)$ vanish when integrated. Moreover we note that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h) \frac{1}{\delta_h^3} \frac{\partial^2 V_h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \cdot \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) = \tag{64}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2}}} \frac{\partial^2 V_h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \cdot \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} (f_2(\theta), g_2(\theta)) d\theta \int_0^r \frac{\rho^3}{(d_h^2 + \rho^2)^{3/2}} d\rho. \tag{65}$$

It is easy to show that this is bounded as well as the other term with the same degree.

3.2 Proof of Theorem 2-(b)

Once again, we will denote by C_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 7$ some positive constant independent on $\mathcal{E}$, not necessarily equal to those previously introduced. We will also make reference to the estimates and results in Appendix A.
We use the approximated distance δ_h defined in (43) to write, for $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \Sigma$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} - \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} \right) \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV \tag{66}$$

$$+ \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV \tag{67}$$

It comes from estimate (xii) that the map

$$\mathcal{W} \setminus \Sigma \ni \mathcal{E} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} - \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} \right) \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV$$

can be extended continuously to $\mathcal{W}$. So that we have to concentrate only on the second term. To study it, we perform another extraction of singularity in the following way:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) dV = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) - \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V_h)}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV \tag{68}$$

$$+ \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V_h) \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV \tag{69}$$

We prove that also the map

$$\mathcal{W} \setminus \Sigma \ni \mathcal{E} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{\cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) - \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V_h)}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV \tag{70}$$

admits continuous extension to $\mathcal{W}$. First of all using estimate (ii) we have that in $\mathcal{W} \setminus \Sigma$

$$\left| \frac{\cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V) - \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V_h)}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{C_1 \sin(n\mathsf{h} \cdot V_h) n\mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_h)}{\sqrt{d^2(\mathcal{E}, V) + C_2 |V - V_h|^2}} \right| \leq \frac{C_3 n|h|}{C_4} \leq C_5 n|h|$$

so that the integral converge. Moreover, we have that the derivative of the integrand are summable. We start noting that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\frac{\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)-\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}=\frac{\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)n\mathsf{h}\cdot\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i}}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)} - [\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)-\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)]\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}.$$

Using estimates (iii),(xiii) we have that the first term is integrable. For the second term we use estimate (v) to get

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\frac{1}{\delta_h}\right|=\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_h^2}}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2\delta_h^3}\frac{\partial\delta_h^2}{\partial y_i}\right|\leq\frac{C_6}{d_h^2+|V-\bar{V}|^2}.$$

Since

$$|\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V)-\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)|\leq C_7|V-V_h|\leq C_7(d_h+|V-V_h|)$$

we can conclude using estimate (vi). Hence also the map (70) can be extended continuously to $\mathcal{W}$.
Therefore, we just need to extend the maps

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}\ni\mathcal{E}\mapsto\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}dV \tag{71}$$

We study the functions

$$\mathcal{W}^{\pm}\ni\mathcal{E}\mapsto-\sin(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)n\mathsf{h}\cdot\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}dV+\cos(n\mathsf{h}\cdot V_h)\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}dV.$$

Using estimate (iii) we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}dV$$

can be computed in a neighborhood of the singularity, and is continuous. Hence, the difference between the two extension is only given by the factor

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^2}\frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E},V)}dV.$$

Using result (xiv) we conclude the proof.

4 Generalized solutions and evolution of the orbit distance

Following what done in [7, Sections 5-6] we can construct generalized solutions patching classical solutions defined in the domain $\mathcal{W}^+$ with classical solutions defined on $\mathcal{W}^-$ and vice-versa. We denote by $\mathcal{Y}(t) = (E(t), \sigma(t))$ an evolution of the asteroid according to (30). Analogously, we denote $\mathcal{Y}'(t) = (E'(t), \sigma'(t))$ a known function of time representing the motion of the planet. Calling $\mathcal{E}(t) = (E(t), E'(t))$ we let $T(\mathcal{Y})$ be the set of times t_c such that $d_{min}(\mathcal{E}(t_c)) = 0$ and suppose that it has no accumulation points.

We say that $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ is a *generalized solution* if it is classical solution for $t \notin T(\mathcal{Y})$ and for each $t_c \in T(\mathcal{Y})$ there exist finite values of

$$\lim_{t \to t_c^+} \dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t), \qquad \lim_{t \to t_c^-} \dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t).$$

In order to construct a generalized solution we consider a solution $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ of the Cauchy problem given by (30) with a non crossing initial condition $\mathcal{Y}(t_0)$. Suppose that it is defined on a maximal interval J such that $\sup J = t_c \in T(\mathcal{Y})$ and that $\mathcal{Y}(t) \in \mathcal{W}^+$ as $t \to t_c$. Applying Theorem 2 we have that there exists

$$\lim_{t \to t_c^-} \dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t) = \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_c$$

and the solution can be extended beyond t_c considering the Cauchy problem

$$\dot{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbb{J}_3 (\nabla_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{H})^+ \quad \mathcal{Y}(\tau) = \mathcal{Y}_\tau \tag{72}$$

for some $\tau \to t_c$, so that we call $\mathcal{Y}(t_c) = \mathcal{Y}_c$. Using again Theorem 2, we can extend the solution beyond the singularity considering the new Cauchy problem

$$\dot{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathbb{J}_3 (\nabla_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{H})^- \quad \mathcal{Y}(t_c) = \mathcal{Y}_c. \tag{73}$$

whose solution fulfills

$$\lim_{t \to t_c^-} \dot{\mathcal{Y}}(t) = \dot{\mathcal{Y}}_c + \mathrm{Diff}_h.$$

Note that the evolution of the orbital elements according to a generalized solution is continuous but not differentiable in a neighborhood of a singularity. More precisely, the evolution of the elements (G, Z, σ, g, z) is only Lipschitz continuous while the evolution of S is C^1 since $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \sigma}$ is not singular at orbit crossing.
Once a generalized solution $\mathcal{Y}(t) = (E(t), \sigma(t))$ is defined, we can concentrate on the evolution of the distance $\tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t))$. We already known that it is an analytic function of the orbital elements $\mathcal{E}$, so that we study the regularity with respect to the time t. Hence, let us define

$$\bar{d}_h(t) = \tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t))$$

and suppose that it is defined in an interval containing a crossing time t_c corresponding to a non degenerate crossing configuration. We have the following

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}(t)$ be a generalized solution of (30) and $\mathcal{E}(t)$ be defined as above. Suppose that t_c is a crossing time such that $\mathcal{E}_c = \mathcal{E}(t_c)$ is a non degenerate crossing singularity. Then there exists an open interval $I \ni t_c$ such that $\bar{d}_h \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$*

Proof. The proof goes like [7, Proposition 4]. We take I such that $\mathcal{E}(I) \in \mathcal{W}$ with $\mathcal{W}$ defined in Theorem 2 and suppose that $\mathcal{E}(t) \in \mathcal{W}^+$ for $t < t_c$ and $\mathcal{E}(t) \in \mathcal{W}^-$ for $t > t_c$. We can compute

$$\dot{\tilde{d}}_h(t) = \nabla_{\mathcal{E}} \tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t)) \cdot \dot{\mathcal{E}}(t) = \nabla_E \tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t)) \cdot \dot{E}(t) + \nabla_{E'} \tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t)) \cdot \dot{E}'(t) \tag{74}$$

$$= \left(\nabla_E \tilde{d}_h \cdot \mathbb{M}_5 \nabla_E \mathcal{H} \right) (E(t)) + \nabla_{E'} \tilde{d}_h(\mathcal{E}(t)) \cdot \dot{E}'(t) \tag{75}$$

where $\mathbb{M}_5$ is the 5×5 matrix obtained by eliminating the last column and row from the symplectic identity $\mathbb{J}_3$. The second addendum is always defined while the first, by Theorem (46) admits two different extension to t_c. Hence, we have

$$\lim_{t \to t_c^+} \dot{\tilde{d}}_h(t) - \lim_{t \to t_c^-} \dot{\tilde{d}}_h(t) = \mathrm{Diff}_h \cdot \mathbb{M}_5 \nabla_E \tilde{d}_h|_{\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_c} \tag{76}$$

$$= \frac{2\mu_5 \mathtt{k}^2 \left[\sum_{n>0} \cos(n\mathsf{h} \cdot (V - V_c)) + \frac{1}{2} \right]}{\pi \sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}} \{ \tilde{d}_h, \tilde{d}_h \}_E|_{\mathcal{E}=\mathcal{E}_c} = 0 \tag{77}$$

□

A Appendix

From the definition of the approximate distance δ_h, we have that

$$d^2(\mathcal{E}, V) = d_h^2(\mathcal{E}) + (V - V_h) \cdot \mathcal{A}_h (V - V_h) + \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{E}, V) = \delta_h^2(\mathcal{E}) + \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{E}, V)$$

We recall here some estimates and results from [7]. In the following, we denote by C_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 14$, some positive constant independent on $\mathcal{E}$, by $\mathcal{W}$ a suitable neighborhood of $\mathcal{E}_c$, by $\mathcal{U}$ a suitable neighborhood of $(\mathcal{E}_c, V_h(\mathcal{E}_c))$ and $\mathcal{U}_\Sigma = \{(\mathcal{E}, V_h(\mathcal{E})) : \mathcal{E} \in \Sigma\}$. We have:

(i) $C_1 \delta_h^2 \le d^2 \le C_2 \delta_h^2$ for $(\mathcal{E}, V)$ in $\mathcal{U}$.

(ii) $d_h^2 + C_3 |V - V_h|^2 \le \delta_h^2 \le d_h^2 + C_4 |V - V_h|^2$ for $\mathcal{E}$ in $\mathcal{W}$ and for every $V \in \mathbb{T}^2$.

(iii) Using the coordinate change $\xi = \mathcal{A}_h^{1/2}(V - V_h)$ and then polar coordinates (ρ, θ), defined by $(\rho \cos\theta, \rho \sin\theta) = \xi$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{\delta_h} \, d\ell d\ell' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_h^2 + |\xi|^2}} \, d\xi = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}} (\sqrt{d_h^2 + r^2} - d_h) \,,$$

with $\mathcal{B} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |\xi| \le r\}$. The term $-2\pi d_h / \sqrt{\det \mathcal{A}_h}$ is not differentiable at $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_c \in \Sigma$.

(iv)
$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \frac{1}{d(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV \leq C_5 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \mathcal{W}$$

(v)
$$\left| \frac{\partial d^2}{\partial y_i} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial \delta_h^2}{\partial y_j} \right| \leq C_6 (d_h + |V - V_h|) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(vi)
$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{dV}{d_h + |V - V_h|} \leq C_7 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \mathcal{W}$$

(vii)
$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 \delta_h^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^2 d^2}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right| \leq C_8 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \mathcal{W}$$

(viii)
$$\left| \frac{1}{d^3} - \frac{1}{\delta_h^3} \right| \leq \frac{C_9}{d_h^2 + |V - V_h|^2} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(ix)
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_3}{\partial y_i} \leq C_{10} |V - V_h|^2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(x)
$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{R}_3}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \leq C_{11} |V - V_h| \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(xi)
$$\left| \frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{\delta_h} \right| \leq C_{12} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(xii)
$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{\delta_h} \right) \right| \leq \frac{C_{13}}{d_h + |V - V_h|} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Sigma$$

(xiii)
$$\frac{\partial V_h}{\partial y_i} \leq C_{14} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \mathcal{W}$$

(xiv) The maps
$$\mathcal{W}^+ \ni \mathcal{E} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV, \quad \mathcal{W}^- \ni \mathcal{E} \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{\delta_h(\mathcal{E}, V)} dV$$
can be extended to two different analytic maps $\mathcal{G}_h^+$, $\mathcal{G}_h^-$ such that, in $\mathcal{W}$,
$$\mathcal{G}_h^+ - \mathcal{G}_h^- = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}} \right) \tilde{d}_h + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\mathcal{A}_h)}} \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_h}{\partial y_i}$$

References

[1] Arnold, V.I, Kozlov, V.V., Neishtadt, A.I.: *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics* , Springer, 1997

[2] Dieudonné, J.: *Foundations of Modern Analysis*, Academic press, 1969.

[3] Gronchi, G.F.: Theoretical and computational aspects of collision singularities in the N-body problem, PhD thesis, Univ. of Pisa (2002)

[4] Gronchi, G.F.: *Generalized averaging principle and the secular evolution of planet crossing orbits*, Cel. Mech. Dyn. Ast., 83 (2002), 97-120.

[5] Gronchi, G.F., Milani, A.: *Averaging on crossing orbits*, Cel. Mech. Dyn. Ast., 71 (1998), 109-136

[6] Gronchi, G.F., Tommei, G.: *On the uncertainty of the minimal distance between two confocal Keplerian orbits*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 7 (2007), 755-778.

[7] Gronchi, G.F., Tardioli, C: *Secular evolution of the orbit distance in the double averaged restricted three-body problem with crossing singularities*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 18 (2013), 1323-1344.

[8] Milani, A., Carpino, M., Hahn, G., Nobili, A.M.: *Dynamics of Planet Crossing Asteroids: Classes of Orbital Behavior*, Icarus 78 (1989), 212-269

[9] Morbidelli, A.: *Modern Celestial Mechanics* Taylor & Francis (2002)

[10] Nesvorný D. and Morbidelli A.: *An analytic model of three-body mean motion resonances*' Cel. Mech. Dyn. Astron., 71, 243-263 (1999)

[11] Whipple, A.: *Lyapunov times of the inner asteroids*, Icarus, 115 (1995), 347-353.